

April 2009

SCH No. 2008021099

**TESORO RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT AND REGULATORY
COMPLIANCE PROJECT**

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

Volume I: Final Environmental Impact Report

Executive Officer

Barry Wallerstein, D. Env.

Deputy Executive Officer

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Elaine Chang, DrPH

Assistant Deputy Executive Officer

Laki Tisopulos, Ph.D, P.E.

Planning and Rules Manager, CEQA Section

Planning, Rule Development, and Area Sources

Susan Nakamura

Submitted to:

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Prepared by:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT, INC.

Reviewed by: Barbara Radlein - Air Quality Specialist
Steve Smith, Ph.D. - Program Supervisor
Sawsan Andrawis – Air Quality Engineer II
Jeri Voge– Senior Deputy District Counsel

PREFACE

This document constitutes the Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Tesoro Reliability Improvement and Regulatory Compliance Project. The Draft EIR was circulated for a 45-day public review and comment period on January 21, 2009. The comment period ended on March 6, 2009. Nine comment letters were received during the public comment period on the Draft EIR and one comment letter after the close of the comment period. In addition, the same form letter was received from 57 individuals. The comment letters and responses are included in Appendix F of this document. The comments were evaluated and minor modifications have been made to the Draft EIR such that it is now a Final EIR. None of the modifications alter any conclusions reached in the Draft EIR, nor provide new information of substantial importance relative to the draft document that would require recirculation of the Draft EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15088.5. Therefore, this document is now a Final EIR. Additions to the text of the EIR are denoted using italics. Text that has been eliminated is shown using ~~strike-outs~~.

SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT GOVERNING BOARD

Chairman: **WILLIAM A. BURKE, Ed.D.**
Speaker of the Assembly Appointee

Vice Chairman: **S. ROY WILSON, Ed.D.**
Supervisor, Fourth District
Riverside County Representative

MEMBERS

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
Supervisor, Fifth District
Los Angeles County Representative

MICHAEL A. CACCIOTTI
Mayor, City of South Pasadena
Cities of Los Angeles County, Eastern Region

BILL CAMPBELL
Supervisor, Third District
Orange County Representative

JANE W. CARNEY
Senate Rules Committee Appointee

RONALD O. LOVERIDGE
Mayor, City of Riverside
Cities Representative, Riverside County

DR. JOSEPH K. LYOU, Ph.D.
Governor's Appointee

GARY OVITT
Supervisor, Fourth District
San Bernardino County Representative

JAN PERRY
Councilmember, Ninth District
City of Los Angeles Representative

MIGUEL A. PULIDO
Mayor, City of Santa Ana
Cities Representative, Orange County

TONIA REYES URANGA
Councilmember, City of Long Beach
Cities Representative, Los Angeles County, Western Region

DENNIS YATES
Mayor, City of Chino
Cities Representative, San Bernardino County

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BARRY WALLERSTEIN, D. Env.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TESORO RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE PROJECT

DRAFT FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

VOLUME I

Page No.

1.0	INTRODUCTION AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose/Legal Requirements	1-1
1.3	Scope and Content	1-2
1.4	Responsible Agencies	1-3
1.5	Intended Uses of the EIR	1-3
1.6	Areas of Controversy	1-3
1.7	Executive Summary – Chapter 2: Project Description	1-4
1.7.1	Project Location.....	1-4
1.7.2	Land Use and Zoning.....	1-4
1.7.3	Existing Refinery Configuration and Operation.....	1-5
1.7.4	Proposed Project Modifications to the Refinery.....	1-5
1.7.5	Construction of the Proposed Project	1-8
1.8	Executive Summary – Chapter 3: Existing Environmental Setting.....	1-9
1.8.1	Air Quality	1-9
1.8.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-9
1.8.3	Transportation and Traffic	1-9
1.9	Executive Summary – Chapter 4: Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	1-10
1.9.1	Air Quality	1-10
1.9.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-12
1.9.3	Traffic and Transportation	1-14
1.10	Executive Summary – Chapter 5: Summary of Cumulative Impacts	1-15
1.10.1	Air Quality	1-15
1.10.2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	1-18
1.10.3	Transportation and Traffic	1-19
1.11	Executive Summary – Chapter 6: Summary of Alternatives.....	1-19
1.12	Executive Summary – Chapters 7 and 8: References, Acronyms and Glossary	1-21
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1	Introduction.....	2-1
2.2	Project Objectives	2-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
2.3	Project Location 2-2
2.4	Land Use and Zoning..... 2-2
2.5	Existing Refinery Configuration and Operation 2-5
2.6	Proposed Project Modifications to the Refinery 2-5
2.6.1	RECLAIM NOx and SOx Reduction 2-6
2.6.2	Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Recovery..... 2-9
2.6.3	Coke Handling, Screening and Loading System 2-10
2.6.4	Compliance with Revised CARB Phase III – Hydrotreating Unit (HTU) Modification..... 2-10
2.6.5	Amine/Sour Water Reliability Upgrades 2-11
2.6.6	Recovery/Treatment of Sour Gas from the Spent Acid Storage Tank and the LPG Sulfur Extraction Unit 2-11
2.6.7	Connecting Atmospheric Pressure Relief Devices to Flare 2-11
2.6.8	Delayed Coker Unit (DCU) Modifications..... 2-11
2.6.9	Crude Oil Storage Tank 2-12
2.6.10	Sulfur Recovery Plant (SRP) Claus Units 600/700 Modification 2-12
2.7	Construction of the Proposed Project 2-12
2.8	Operation of the Proposed Project 2-12
2.9	Permits and Approvals..... 2-14
2.9.1	Federal Approvals..... 2-14
2.9.2	State Approvals..... 2-14
2.9.3	Local Approvals..... 2-14
3.0	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING 3-1
3.1	Introduction..... 3-1
3.2	Air Quality 3-1
3.2.1	Meteorological Conditions..... 3-1
3.2.2	Temperature and Rainfall 3-1
3.2.3	Wind Flow Patterns..... 3-2
3.2.4	Existing Air Quality..... 3-2
3.2.5	Regulatory Background 3-10
3.3	Hazards and Hazardous Material 3-13
3.3.1	Types of On-Site Hazards..... 3-13
3.3.2	Transportation Risks 3-16
3.3.3	Regulatory Background 3-17
3.4	Transportation and Traffic 3-18
3.4.1	Regional Circulation 3-19
3.4.2	Local Circulation 3-19
3.4.3	Existing Traffic Conditions..... 3-21
3.4.4	Regulatory Background 3-23

	Page No.
4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	4-1
4.1 Introduction.....	4-1
4.2 Air Quality	4-1
4.2.1 Significance Criteria	4-2
4.2.2 Environmental Impacts	4-5
4.2.3 Mitigation Measures	4-24
4.2.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-25
4.3 Hazards and Hazardous Material	4-26
4.3.1 Significance Criteria	4-26
4.3.2 Environmental Impacts	4-27
4.3.3 Mitigation Measures	4-31
4.3.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-32
4.4 Transportation and Traffic	4-33
4.4.1 Significance Criteria	4-33
4.4.2 Environmental Impacts	4-33
4.4.3 Mitigation Measures	4-36
4.4.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	4-36
4.5 Growth Inducing Impacts	4-37
4.6 Significant Environmental Effects Which Cannot be Avoided and Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes	4-37
4.7 Environmental Effects Found Not To Be Significant.....	4-38
 5.0 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	 5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-1
5.2 Potentially Related Projects	5-3
5.2.1 BP Carson Refinery Safety, Compliance and Optimization Project (#1)	5-3
5.2.2 Kinder Morgan Carson Terminal Expansion (#2)	5-3
5.2.3 Chemoil Terminals Corporation (#3).....	5-4
5.2.4 ConocoPhillips Refinery Project Tank Replacement Project (#4)	5-4
5.2.5 Pacific L.A. Marine Crude Oil Terminal (#5)	5-5
5.2.6 BP Logistics Project (#6).....	5-6
5.2.7 Ultramar Inc., Olympic Tank Farm (#7).....	5-6
5.2.8 ICTF Expansion and Modernization Project (#8).....	5-6
5.2.9 Southern California International Gateway (SCIG) Project (#9)	5-7
5.2.10 WesPac Smart Energy Transport System Project (#10)	5-7
5.2.11 Interstate Freeway Major Corridor Study (#11)	5-8
5.2.12 Alameda Corridor Transit Authority (ACTA) Projects	5-8
5.2.13 Alameda Street Sound-Wall and Noise Mitigation (#13).....	5-9
5.2.14 Other Projects in the Cities of Carson and Long Beach	5-10
5.2.15 San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan (#17)	5-10
5.3 Air Quality	5-11
5.3.1 Construction Impacts	5-11
5.3.2 Operational Emission Impacts	5-12
5.3.3 Toxic Air Contaminants.....	5-14
5.3.4 Greenhouse Gases.....	5-15

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
5.3.5 Mitigation Measures	5-23
5.3.6 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-24
5.4 Hazards and Hazardous Material	5-25
5.4.1 Construction/Operational Impacts	5-25
5.4.2 Mitigation Measures	5-26
5.4.3 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-26
5.5 Transportation and Traffic	5-26
5.5.1 Construction Impacts	5-26
5.5.2 Operational Impacts	5-26
5.5.3 Mitigation Measures	5-27
5.5.4 Level of Significance after Mitigation.....	5-27
6.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	6-1
6.1 Introduction.....	6-1
6.2 Alternatives Rejected as Infeasible.....	6-2
6.2.1 Alternative Sites.....	6-2
6.2.2 EMx Technology Instead of SCR.....	6-3
6.2.3 Power Recovery Generation at the FCCU	6-4
6.2.4 Eliminate Upgrades to the HTU No. 2.....	6-4
6.2.5 Eliminate Upgrades to the Sulfur Recovery Facilities.....	6-4
6.3 Description of the Project Alternatives.....	6-4
6.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-4
6.3.2 Alternative 2 – Eliminate Upgrades to the Boilers.....	6-5
6.3.3 Alternative 3 – Eliminate the New Cogeneration Facilities	6-5
6.3.4 Alternative 4 – Eliminate the New Fuel Gas Treatment Unit.....	6-6
6.3.5 Alternative 5 – Eliminate Crude Oil Storage Tank.....	6-6
6.4 Environmental Impacts from the Project Alternatives.....	6-7
6.4.1 Alternative 1 – No Project Alternative	6-7
6.4.2 Alternative 2 – Elimination of Upgrades to the Boilers	6-8
6.4.3 Alternative 3 – Elimination of the New Cogeneration Facilities.....	6-9
6.4.4 Alternative 4 – Elimination of the New Fuel Gas Treatment Unit.....	6-10
6.4.5 Alternative 5 – Elimination of the Crude Oil Storage Tank	6-11
6.5 Conclusion	6-12
7.0 REFERENCES.....	7-1
7.1 References.....	7-1
7.2 Organizations and Persons Consulted.....	7-3
7.2.1 Organizations	7-3
7.2.2 Individuals Consulted	7-3
7.3 List of Environmental Impact Report Preparers	7-3
8.0 ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY	8-1
8.1 Acronyms and Abbreviations	8-1
8.2 Glossary	8-4

APPENDICES:

Appendix A: Notice of Preparation/Initial Study (NOP/IS)
 Appendix B: Peak Construction Emissions Calculations
 Appendix C: Operational Emissions Calculations
 Appendix D: Hazard Analysis
 Appendix E: Traffic Level of Service Analyses
 Appendix F: *Response to Comments Received on the Draft Environmental Impact Report*

FIGURES:

2-1 Regional Map Tesoro Los Angeles Refinery/Sulfur Recovery Plant..... 2-3
 2-2 Site Location Map Tesoro Los Angeles Refinery/Sulfur Recovery Plant..... 2-4
 2-3 Tesoro Refinery Plot Plan..... 2-7
 2-4 Tesoro Sulfur Recovery Plant Plot Plan 2-8
 2-5 Tesoro Reliability Improvement and Regulatory Compliance Project
 Construction Schedule 2-13
 3-1 Traffic Circulation 3-20
 4-1 One in a Million Isopleth & Maximum Impact Points 4-19
 5-1 Tesoro Cumulative Projects Location Map 5-2

TABLES:

1-1 Summary of Environmental Impacts, Mitigation Measures and Residual
 Impacts..... 1-22
 2-1 Federal, State and Local Agency Permits and Applications..... 2-15
 3-1 Federal and State Ambient Air Quality Standards..... 3-3
 3-2 Ambient Air Quality South Coastal Los Angeles County 1 Monitoring
 Station (2003-2007) Maximum Observed Concentrations..... 3-6
 3-3 Tesoro Refinery/Sulfur Recovery Plant Reported Criteria
 Pollutant Emissions..... 3-7
 3-4 Ambient Air Quality Toxic Air Contaminants – North Long Beach
 Maximum Concentration 2006 3-8
 3-5 Truck Accident Rates for Cargo on Highways 3-17
 3-6 Existing Traffic Conditions..... 3-22
 4-1 Air Quality Significance Thresholds 4-3
 4-2 Determining Significance for NOx and SOx Pollutants at the
 Tesoro Refinery 4-5
 4-3 Tesoro Refinery and SRP Peak Construction Emissions..... 4-6
 4-4 Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation for Refinery Construction
 Emissions 4-9
 4-5 Localized Significance Threshold Evaluation for SRP Construction
 Emissions 4-10
 4-6 Tesoro Stationary Source Operational Emissions from the Proposed
 Project 4-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
4-7 Tesoro Refinery Stationary Source Operational Emissions Summary for the Proposed Project	4-15
4-8 Maximum Refinery TAC Emissions Rates for Proposed Project.....	4-18
4-9 Summary of Proposed Project Cancer Risk.....	4-20
4-10 Maximum Hazard Distances for Maximum Credible Event in Each Process Unit/Area.....	4-28
4-11 Tesoro Refinery Construction Traffic Impacts Level of Service Analysis and Volume-To-Capacity Ratios	4-35
4-12 Peak Proposed Project Traffic Impact on Surrounding I-710 Freeway	4-36
5-1 Other Nearby Projects in the City of Carson and Long Beach	5-10
5-2 Cumulative Construction Air Quality Impacts	5-12
5-3 Cumulative Operational Air Quality Impacts	5-13
5-4 California GHG Emissions and Sinks Summary	5-16
5-5 Tesoro Refinery Proposed Project GHG Emissions Summary.....	5-22
6-1 Environmental Impacts of Alternatives as Compared to the Proposed Project	6-12

VOLUME II

Health Risk Assessment

M:\DBS\2550 Tesoro Optimization\2550 DEIR 0.doc