SOUTH COAST AOMD CLERK OF THE BOARDS

PETITION FOR VARIANCE BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT 1 COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

ETI	TIONER: EMD Specialty Materials, LLC	CASE NO: 6260-1		
		FACILITY ID: 194343		
	LITY ADDRESS: <u>9433 Hyssop Dr</u> tion of equipment/site of violation; specify busines	s/corporate address, if different, under Item 2, below		
ty,	State, Zip: Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730-6107			
	selecting)	box may be checked; see Attachment A, Item 1, before EMERGENCY EX PARTE EMERGENCY		
		an Petitioner), address, and phone number of persons		
		(no more than two authorized persons).		
	Bruce Armbruster	Richard Corrette		
	Bruce Armbruster Directing Consultant	Richard Corrette Technical Director		
	Bruce Armbruster Directing Consultant ALL4 Environmental CA, LLC	Richard Corrette Technical Director EMD Specialty Materials, LLC		
	Bruce Armbruster Directing Consultant ALL4 Environmental CA, LLC 12505 North Mainstreet Zip 91739	Richard Corrette Technical Director EMD Specialty Materials, LLC 9433 Hyssop Dr Zip 91730		
	Bruce Armbruster Directing Consultant ALL4 Environmental CA, LLC 12505 North Mainstreet Zip 91739 ■ (909) 477 - 7103 Ext.	Richard Corrette Technical Director EMD Specialty Materials, LLC 9433 Hyssop Dr Zip 91730 ■ (909) 987 - 9533 Ext. 2233		

the Clerk of the Board at 909-396-2500 or by e-mail at clerkofboard@aqmd.gov.

If you require disability-related accommodations to facilitate participating in the hearing, contact the Clerk of the Board at least five (5) calendar days prior to the hearing.

[ALL DOCUMENTS FILED WITH CLERK'S OFFICE BECOME PUBLIC RECORD]

This petition is being filed because of the unpredicted damage to the burners associated with the oven that is believed to result in increased emissions of oxides of nitrogen beyond what is allowed by Rule 1147 and existing permit conditions. At the time the damage was identified the oven was shut down and continues to be shut down; however, the oven is an essential component of the manufacturing operations and is critical to the Facility's ability to satisfy existing contractual obligations. Upon discovering the damage the facility commenced the preparation of this Variance Petition for consideration by the Hearing Board. The increased emissions projected by operating the oven in its current state is minimal. The Facility is requesting an Interim Variance to allow normal operations to resume as quickly as possible.

GOOD CAUSE: Explain why your petition was not filed in sufficient time to issue the required public notice.

Briefly describe the type of business and processes at your facility.

Arlon is a major manufacturer of specialty high performance laminate and prepreg materials for use in a wide variety of printed circuit board applications. Glass fabric is impregnated with epoxy and polyimide resin. The cured impregnated glass fabric is laminated with copper sheets, cut to size, and shipped to customers.

The Fiberglass Cloth Impregnating System No. 1 consists of:

1. Fabric Unwind Stand

4.

- 2. Splicer, with Four 1,000 Watts Heating Elements
- 3. Accumulator Rolls, 70 Linear Feet Capacity, Air Operated
- 4. Impregnation Section
- 5. Oven with Six Heating Zones
- 6. Chill Roll Section
- 7. Rewind Section

To minimize oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions from the Fiberglass Cloth Impregnating System, the Oven with Six Heating Zones is equipped with six Low NOx burners.

6. List the equipment and/or activity(s) that are the subject of this petition (see Attachment A, Item 6, Example #1). Attach copies of the Permit(s) to Construct and/or Permit(s) to Operate for the subject equipment. For RECLAIM or Title V facilities, attach only the relevant sections of the Facility Permit showing the equipment or process and conditions that are subject to this petition. You must bring the entire Facility Permit to the hearing.

Equipment/Activity	Application/ Permit No.	RECLAIM Device No.	Date Application/Plan Denied (if relevant)*
Fiberglass Cloth Impregnating System No. 1 Consisting of: Fabric Unwind Stand. Splicer, with Four 1000 Watts Heating Elements. Accumulator Rolls, 70 Linear Feet Capacity, Air Operated. Impregnation Section Consisting of: Lower Total Enclosure 17'-8" W. x 18'-5" L. x 7'-0" H., with Three 3" Dia. Infeed Rolls, Two 2' Dia. Metering Rolls, One 30 Gallon Resin Dip Tank, and One 90 Gallon Resin Sump Tank. Upper Total Enclosure 17'-5" W. x 18'-0" L. x 11'-6" H., with Crossover Section. Oven with Six Heating Zones, Each 6'-0" W. x 7'-6" W. x 7'-0" L. x 7'-6" H., with Six 540000 BTU/hr, Eclipse Linnox, Model No. ULE, Low NOX Direct Fired Natural Gas Burners, With Six 3 HP Recirculation Blowers and Three Combustion Blowers. Chill Roll Section with Three 8" Dia. Rolls, with a 314 HP Drive. Rewind Section with Two Collector Rolls, One 1/3 HP and One ½ HP Drive Motors.	A/N 628689 Permit No. G65300	N/A	N/A

^{*}Attach copy of denial letter

Briefly describe the activity or equipment, and why it is necessary to the operation of your business. A schematic or diagram may be attached, in addition to the descriptive text.

The oven is integral to the impregnation process to cure the resin impregnated fiberglass substrate. The impregnation line cannot operate without the ovens.

	eriodic inspections are performed on the equipment.					
Date of last maintenance and/or inspection 6/18/24						
Describe the maintenance and/or inspection that was performed.						
operation of the oven and weekly, monthly, and annu burners, gas valves, natur	ed a preventive maintenance program intended to ensure proper maintenance associated equipment. The program includes various periodic inspections (contains) and checks. The procedure includes, but is not limited to, visual checks of the grant gas pressure gauges, gas train, combustion blower, ducts, flame, and reference natural gas-fired burners are operating properly.					
are seeking variance relief (if	permit conditions [indicating the specific section(s) and subsection(s)] from which frequesting variance from Rule 401 or permit condition, see Attachment A). Brise in violation of each rule or condition (see Attachment A, Item 9, Example #2).					
Rule	Explanation					
Rule 1147(d)(1)(a)	An operator subject to Rule 1147(d)(1)(a) is required to meet the NOx and C emission limits in Table 1 as demonstrated by a source test until required to comply with Table 2 limits. Based on preliminary source test results it appears that the damaged burner is prohibiting the oven from meeting the 30 ppm NC emission limit in Table 1.					
Permit No. G65300, Condition 7	Condition 7 requires that the NOx emissions not exceed 30 ppm.					
Rule 203	Existing permit conditions require that the operation of the equipmer conducted in accordance with all data and specifications submitted with application under which the permit has been issued. The permit application identified in condition 7 of the existing permit to operate, identifies the emissions from the oven burner at 30 ppm corrected to 3 percent oxygen.					

Case No.	Date of Action	Final Compliance Date	Explanation
	1.		
re any other equip overage? Yes		his location currently (or w	ithin the last six months) under variar
Case No.	Date of Action	Final Compliance Date	Explanation
			peration of the subject equipment or a
yes, you should b	pe prepared to preser	nt details at the hearing.	
Explain why it is be specific event(s) ar	eyond your reasonable of date(s) of occurrer	e control to comply with the nce(s), if applicable.	e rule(s) and/or permit condition(s). P
maintained. Durir	ng recent inspections gering additional in ional deformation of t	and testing some potentia spections and evaluation	m to ensure that the equipment is pal anomalies related to the burner ops. During the additional inspection parts, beyond what is typically expe
the facility continu	ues to work with the rner. It is not clear if	burner manufacturer and c the burner can be repaire	al attempts were not successful. Sind other third-party vendors to repair or ed; however, if it cannot be repaired oturer, the lead time for a new burner

When and how did you first become aware that you would not be in compliance with the rule(s) and/or permit condition(s)? Provide specific event(s) and date(s) of occurrence(s).

During source testing intended to satisfy the requirements of Rule 1147 conducted in May 2024 some anomalies were noted with burner operation. The source test was completed; however, as a result of the noted anomalies the facility initiated additional inspections and evaluations of the system. After conducting some initial maintenance on the burners, a decision was made to remove the burners for a more detailed inspection. The equipment was shut down.

During the week of June 7th, additional repairs were needed to the burners. Following the repairs it was determined on June 8th that more substantial repairs or a complete replacement of the burner is required, at which point the facility made the decision to pursue a variance.

List date(s) and action(s) you have taken since that time to achieve compliance. That the Petition Form HB-V, and any related instructions, include requirement that the Petitioner include a timeline in suitable, chronological format to address the events, dates, and actions called for by Questions 15 and 16, including the dates of communication with the South Coast AQMD to notify them of the occurrence(s) giving rise to the requested variance.

June 2024

- May 8th Tested burner by distributor.
- May 13th Ordered Differential Air/Actuator.
- June 3^{rd-5th} Installed actuator and tested.
- June 7-8th Inspected and began burner repairs.
- June 11th: burner was tested by outside contractor.
- June 17th Unit was tuned and retested by burner distributor.
- June 18th-Current Continuing to perform additional burner repairs.

What would be the harm to your business during and/or after the period of the variance if the variance were not granted?
Economic losses: \$ 8,286,195 in revenue per year (estimated)
Number of employees laid off (if any):6
Provide detailed information regarding economic losses, if any, (anticipated business closure, breach of contracts hardship on customers, layoffs, and/or similar impacts).
Because the facility cannot operate the manufacturing line no. 1 without the low NOx burners, economic losses are estimated to be \$8,286,195 per year. Additionally, an estimated 6 out of 90 employees would be affected by the losses.
If the facility was not able to operate, there could be a complete reduction or elimination of products produced which could lead to potential breach of contracts and irreparable harm to client and consumer relationships Current client and consumers include the United States Department of Defense, European Space Agency, and other industrial facilities.
Can you curtail or terminate operations in lieu of, or in addition to, obtaining a variance? Please explain.
The facility must operate the oven to complete the manufacturing processes. The line that the oven is associated with represents 50% of the total production at the facility. Curtailment would impact the facility's ability to fulfill DOD and related government contracts.

19. Estimate excess emissions, if any, on a daily basis, including, if applicable, excess opacity (the percentage of total opacity above 20% during the variance period). If the variance will result in no excess emissions, insert "N/A" here and skip to No. 20.

	(A)	(B)	(C)*
Pollutant	Total Estimated Excess Emissions (lbs/day)	Reduction Due to Mitigation (lbs/day)	Net Emissions After Mitigation (lbs/day)
NOx	13.59	N/A	N/A

^{*} Column A minus Column B = Column C

Evenes Openitus	NI/A	%
Excess Opacity:	N/A	- 70

20. Show calculations used to estimate quantities in No. 19, **or** explain why there will be no excess emissions.

Emissions Basis NOx (ppm)	Emissi on Factor (ppm)	Emission Factor (lb/MMSC F)	Burner Rating (MMBtu/ hr)	Numb er of Burne rs	Total Burner Rating (MMBtu/h r)	Maximu m Hours per Day	MMBTU/d ay	NOx Emissions (lbs/day)	
Estimated Max	44.38	56.64	5.40	F 40	_	32.40	24	778	41.94
Permitted Max	30	38.28		6	32.40	24	778	28.35	
8 90 -	FE	10000	SPACE TO	1471	Diffe	rence/Exce	ss Emissions:	13.59	

21. Explain how you plan to reduce (mitigate) excess emissions during the variance period to the maximum extent feasible, or why reductions are not feasible.

The facility will attempt to divert as much production as possible to line 2 during the variance period to reduce the amount of time that line 1 is operated.

The facility will monitor and record fuel usage during the va on actual fuel usage.	riance period. Emissions will be calculated l
How do you intend to achieve compliance with the rule(s) and description of any equipment to be installed, modifications or to be amended, etc., dates by which the actions will be complete.	process changes to be made, permit condition
The facility is actively working with the burner company to demonst be replaced. Initial repairs are in progress; however, being able to determine if additional repairs or complete reprequire an extended amount of time to come into full complication that the lead time. The manufacturer currently expects a 10-mon low NOx burner.	additional testing and tuning is required be lacement is required. Complete replacement ance with the 30 ppm NOx emissions limit d
State the date you are requesting the variance to begin: <u>June</u> the date by which you expect to achieve final compliance: If the regular variance is to extend beyond one year, you mus specifying dates or time increments for steps needed to achie of Increments of Progress (see Attachment A, Item 24, Examplest Increments of Progress here:	t include a Schedule of Increments of Pro ve compliance. See District Rule 102 for de
N/A	

[YOU MAY ATTACH ADDITIONAL PAGES IF NECESSARY]

Ext.						
	Ext					
If the petition was completed	d by someone other than	the petitioner, plea	se provide their name and title beld			
Bruce Armbruster	ALL4 Environm	ental CA, LLC	Directing Consultant			
Name	Company		Title			
The undersigned, under per therein set forth, is true and Executed on Signature		t the above petition Ranche Biche Print Name	including attachments and the ite			
Title: Technical	Disates					



South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178

 Section D
 Page 19

 Facility I.D.#:
 194343

 Revision #:
 I

 Date:
 March 21, 2023

FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE EMD SPECIALTY MATERIALS, LLC

PERMIT TO OPERATE

Permit No. G65300 A/N 628689

Equipment Description:

Fiberglass Cloth Impregnating System No. 1 Consisting of:

- 1. Fabric Unwind Stand.
- 2. Splicer, with Four 1,000 Watts Heating Elements.
- 3. Accumulator Rolls, 70 Linear Feet Capacity, Air Operated.
- 4. Impregnation Section Consisting of:
 - A. Lower Total Enclosure 17'-8" W. x 18'-5" L. x 7'-0" H., with Three 3" Dia. Infeed Rolls, Two 2' Dia. Metering Rolls, One 30 Gallon Resin Dip Tank, and One 90 Gallon Resin Sump Tank.
 - B. Upper Total Enclosure 17'-5" W. x 18'-0" L. x 11'-6" H., with Crossover Section.
- 7. Oven with Six Heating Zones, Each 6'-0" W. x 7'-0" L. x 7'-6" H., with Six 540,000 BTU/hr, Eclipse Linnox, Model No. ULE, Low NOx Direct Fired Natural Gas Burners, With Six 3 HP Recirculation Blowers and Three Combustion Blowers.
- 8. Chill Roll Section with Three 8" Dia. Rolls, with a 314 H P Drive.
- 9. Rewind Section with Two Collector Rolls, One 1/3 HP and One ½ HP Drive Motors.

Conditions:

- Operation of this equipment shall be conducted in accordance with all data and specifications submitted with the
 application under which this permit is issued unless otherwise noted below.
 [Rule 204]
- 2. This equipment shall be properly maintained and kept in good operating condition at all times. [Rule 204]
- 3. This equipment shall not be operated unless it is vented to an air pollution control equipment which is in full use and has been issued a permit by the Executive Officer.

 [Rule 1128, 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 1303(b)(2)-Offset]
- 4. The impregnation section (both lower and upper enclosure) shall be operated as a permanent total enclosure pursuant to EPA Method 204.

 [Rule 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 1303(b)(2)-Offset]



South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178

Section D Page 20 Facility I.D.#: 194343 Revision #: 1

FACILITY PERMIT TO OPERATE EMD SPECIALTY MATERIALS, LLC

- 5. The operator shall install and maintain a visible alarm indicating when the oven exhaust diverter damper or the permanent total enclosure exhaust diverter damper are venting to the atmosphere. A visible alarm shall be in place to indicate when a malfunction to the exhaust system occurs. Records shall be kept and reported indicating the date and time of each malfunction in accordance with Section K of this permit.

 [Rule 430]
- 6. In addition to the record keeping requirements of Rule 109, the operator shall keep adequate records for this equipment to verify the daily VOC emissions in pounds and the VOC content of each material as applied (including water and exempt compounds). These records shall be prepared in a format which is acceptable to the District.

 [Rule 109, 1303(b)(2)-Offset]
- 7. The oxides of nitrogen (NOx) emissions discharged from the oven shall not exceed 30 ppm, calculated as NO₂ by volume on a dry basis @ 3% oxygen, averaged over 30 consecutive minutes.

 [Rule 1147, 1303(a)(1)-BACT]

Emissions and Requirements:

8. This equipment is subject to the applicable requirements of the following Rules and Regulations:

VOC: Rule 1128, see Appendix B for emission limits VOC: Rule 1171, see Appendix B for emission limits

VOC: Rule 109

PM: Rule 404, see Appendix B for emission limits

PM: 0.1 gr/scf, Rule 409 CO: 2000 ppmv, Rule 407

HAP(s): 40CFR63 Subpart JJJJ, see Section J for requirements

NOx: 30 ppmv, Rule 1147

NOx: 30 ppmv, Rule 1303(a)(1)-BACT

ATTACHMENT 2 - LOW NO_X BURNER PHOTOS



Figure 1







Figure 3



