

1 Robert L. Hines (State Bar No. 123936)  
rhines@fbm.com  
2 John M. Ugai (State Bar No. 318565)  
jugai@fbm.com  
3 Farella Braun + Martel LLP  
One Bush Street, Suite 900  
4 San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: (415) 954-4400  
5 Facsimile: (415) 954-4480

6 Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

BEFORE THE HEARING BOARD OF THE  
SOUTH COAST AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

**In the Matter of**

GOODRICH CORPORATION,  
(Facility ID No. 11998),

Case No. 6253-1

**DECLARATION OF SANDRA PEREZ IN  
SUPPORT OF GOODRICH  
CORPORATION'S PETITION FOR  
REGULAR VARIANCE**

Hearing Date: April 30, 2024  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Place: Hearing Board Room  
South Coast Air Quality  
Management District  
21865 Copley Drive  
Diamond Bar, CA 91765

1 **DECLARATION OF SANDRA PEREZ**

2 I, Sandra Perez, declare as follows:

3 1. I am currently employed as the Environmental Health & Safety Manager for  
4 Goodrich Corporation’s (“Goodrich”) facility located at 11120 S. Norwalk Blvd in Santa Fe  
5 Springs, California (“Facility”). I am over the age of 18 years. I have personal knowledge of the  
6 matters set forth below and am competent to testify with respect to them.

7 2. I joined Goodrich in December 2023. My responsibilities as the Environmental  
8 Health & Safety Manager include assessing environmental, health, and safety impacts at the  
9 Facility and developing and implementing programs to reduce risk as well as achieve and maintain  
10 compliance. I also manage numerous environmental permits, reporting criteria, and high-risk  
11 health and safety programs. In particular, I manage the Facility’s compliance with the fifty-two  
12 permits it has from the South Coast Air Quality Management District (“South Coast AQMD”). I  
13 also work closely with the Facilities, Maintenance, and Furnace Deck departments, which has  
14 provided me with an understanding of the Facility’s process systems.

15 3. Prior to joining Goodrich, I have worked a collective eleven years in the field of  
16 environmental and occupational health in the Aerospace and Biopharmaceutical industries. I hold  
17 Bachelor of Science and Master of Science degrees in Environmental and Occupational Health  
18 from California State University Northridge.

19 **Goodrich’s Variance Petition**

20 4. The Facility’s production process includes the following high-level steps. First, the  
21 Facility receives the carbon fiber textile material, which it then prepares for the brake production  
22 process. Second, the Facility then processes the material through the furnace deck process. That  
23 process includes densification, heat treatment, and coating. Third, the Facility performs  
24 machining and hardware assembly during the machine shop process.

25 5. On February 23, 2024, Goodrich submitted a petition for an interim and regular  
26 variance related to the Facility’s emergency flare, which is part of the equipment for the furnace  
27 deck process. In particular, Goodrich seeks relief from one of the conditions in its April 17, 2014  
28

1 Permit to Operate (Permit # G30825) for the emergency flare (“Permit”). A true and correct copy  
2 of the Permit is attached as Exhibit A to this declaration.

3 6. The emergency flare is a backup air pollution control device for the large furnaces  
4 required by the carbon brakes manufacturing process to heat the brake materials during  
5 Goodrich’s proprietary carbon densification process. A large building at the Facility houses a  
6 series of these furnaces.

7 7. The furnaces’ air pollution control system has two components: (1) a boiler  
8 exhaust system with a scrubber and (2) a backup emergency flare. In particular, the South Coast  
9 AQMD air permits for the Facility’s furnaces have conditions that require the furnaces to vent to  
10 an oil scrubber, a boiler, and a backup flare while in operation to control potential volatile organic  
11 compounds (“VOC”) and toxic air contaminant (“TAC”) emissions.<sup>1</sup> The Facility’s South Coast  
12 AQMD permits to operate for its furnaces similarly require Goodrich to have the emergency flare  
13 line on standby (*see e.g.*, Permit # G71191, Permit Condition 4). Accordingly, the emergency  
14 flare must be available whenever the furnaces operate at the Facility.

15 8. The Facility’s boilers serve as the primary pollution control devices for the  
16 furnaces, and the emergency flare serves as the backup air pollution control system. During  
17 normal operations, the onsite boilers safely combust the furnace process gas. But during an  
18 equipment malfunction or another emergency, the flare combusts process gas from the furnaces to  
19 prevent potential hazards from the process gas, such as VOCs, from venting directly into the  
20 atmosphere. Accordingly, when a boiler outage or other emergency occurs, the flare operates as  
21 the pollution control device for the furnaces, effectively reducing VOC and TAC emissions until  
22 Goodrich resolves the issue with the primary pollution control system.

23 9. Goodrich’s petition seeks a variance for one of the Permit’s ten conditions for the  
24 emergency flare, which limits Goodrich to operating the flare for no more than thirteen hours in a  
25 rolling twelve-month period. Goodrich has reviewed its historical records, and we have been  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> The permits are Permit Nos. G71191-F43, G71192-F44, G71193-71, G71194-91, G71195-92,  
28 G71196-93, G71197-112, G71198-122, and G71199-152.

1 unable to identify the reason for the thirteen-hour limit in the Permit. However, following the  
2 interim variance hearing, South Coast AQMD staff located the Health Risk Assessment Report:  
3 Backup Flare Application No. 336586, dated June 12, 2000 (“Health Risk Assessment”), which  
4 explains the basis of the thirteen-hour limit in the Permit and is attached as Exhibit B to this  
5 declaration.

6 10. On March 7, 2024, the Hearing Board granted Goodrich an interim variance to  
7 operate the emergency flare until the Hearing Board could consider the matter at a regular  
8 variance hearing on April 30, 2024. Given the duration of the solenoid valve failure event in  
9 February 2024 and that the Permit’s thirteen-hour operation limit is calculated based on the  
10 operation time in a rolling twelve-month period, Goodrich requires further variance relief for  
11 operations at the Facility until February 3, 2025.

12 **February 2024 Solenoid Valve Failure Event**

13 11. Goodrich’s need for a variance arises out of an unanticipated mechanical failure  
14 event in February 2024 that caused the emergency flare to operate beyond the thirteen-hour  
15 rolling, twelve-month limit in the Permit.

16 12. On the night of Saturday, February 3, 2024, a Goodrich mechanic discovered that a  
17 solenoid valve failed and remained in the open position on one of the piping systems that connects  
18 the furnaces to the flare and other equipment. In particular, the solenoid valve that failed  
19 controlled the compressed air into the isolation valve actuator. The solenoid valve was stuck  
20 open, causing the isolation valve to remain open. The malfunctioning valve allowed process gas  
21 to flow into both the boiler and the emergency flare. The process gas flowing through the  
22 emergency flare line caused the flare to operate for approximately sixteen hours until the mechanic  
23 discovered the solenoid valve failed and manually closed it. However, the emergency flare  
24 functioned as intended, and it prevented the release of process gas.

25 13. The solenoid valve failure was an unanticipated mechanical failure that had never  
26 occurred before at the Facility. And because the solenoid valve was an unknown possible point of  
27 failure, it did not trigger the Facility’s alarm system, which was designed to detect the known  
28 pathways, permit conditions, and failure conditions to the flare. Since the solenoid valve failure

1 event, Goodrich has redesigned its flare alarm and monitoring systems to detect any future  
2 solenoid valve failures.

3 **Without Variance Relief, Goodrich Will Be in Violation of the Permit and South Coast**  
4 **AQMD Rule 203(b).**

5 14. Without flare line operation variance relief, Goodrich will be in violation of  
6 Condition No. 3 of the Permit, which provides that the emergency flare “shall not be used more  
7 than 13 hours in any one 12-month rolling period.” Ex. A. Because the February 2024 solenoid  
8 valve failure caused the emergency flare to operate for sixteen hours, Goodrich will in violation of  
9 this condition when the interim variance expires. Goodrich would violate the Permit’s thirteen-  
10 hour twelve-month rolling limit with each individual operation of the flare, until February 3, 2025,  
11 when the February 2024 solenoid valve failure would roll off the twelve-month tracking period  
12 under the Permit.

13 15. While Goodrich’s use of the flare is unpredictable, Goodrich’s records demonstrate  
14 that it uses the flare for approximately ten to twenty minutes in a typical month. Goodrich thus  
15 expects that the Facility will need to use the emergency flare during the nine-month period  
16 between April 30, 2024, and February 3, 2025, but the precise timing of those events is not  
17 possible to predict.

18 16. Goodrich’s anticipated violation of its Permit conditions will cause Goodrich to  
19 also violate South Coast AQMD Rules 203(b), which prohibits operating equipment contrary to  
20 the conditions set forth in a permit to operate.

21 **The February 2024 Solenoid Valve Failure Event Was Due to Conditions Beyond Goodrich’s**  
22 **Reasonable Control.**

23 17. The February 2024 solenoid valve failure that caused the emergency flare to  
24 operate was an unavoidable equipment failure that Goodrich could not have anticipated. Based on  
25 Goodrich’s internal investigation efforts, I understand that the valve at issue was within its  
26 recommended life span, and Goodrich had no notice that it would fail before it did. Further, I  
27 understand that Goodrich’s standard operating procedures include testing the valves after every  
28

1 production run approximately every two weeks by opening and closing the valves from the control  
2 room, which would have detected any valve failure.

3 **The Inability to use the Flare Would Not Have a Corresponding Benefit in Reducing Air**  
4 **Contaminants.**

5 18. The emergency flare is a pollution control device, and based on conversations with  
6 Goodrich’s engineering and technical staff, and my own knowledge, granting or denying the  
7 interim variance would have a negligible impact on air quality. Although denying the variance  
8 would require Goodrich to halt production, which would stop all air emissions, use of the  
9 emergency flare also prevents emissions by effectively controlling VOC and TAC emissions from  
10 the process gas. As a result, Goodrich’s ability to operate the emergency flare is important to  
11 control emissions and protect public health. Further, as discussed in the Declaration of Mark Ruiz  
12 in Support of Goodrich’s Petition for a Regular Variance, halting Goodrich’s operations would  
13 disrupt the delivery of carbon brake products to Goodrich’s military and national security  
14 customers as well as result in losses for the local economies.

15 19. Based on conversations with Goodrich’s engineering and technical staff and the  
16 Health Risk Assessment, I understand that at most, operation of the emergency flare may result in  
17 a negligible increase in NOx, Benzene, and 1,3-Butadiene emissions. The NOx emissions may  
18 occur because the Facility’s two boilers have control devices for NOx that the flare does not.  
19 However, any additional NOx, Benzene, and/or 1,3-Butadiene emissions are negligible, given that  
20 excluding the February 2024 solenoid valve failure, the emergency flare records show it operates  
21 for approximately ten to twenty minutes in a typical month. The estimated emissions by the  
22 emergency flare during the variance period are 0.142 lbs. of NOx, 0.153 lbs. of Benzene,  
23 0.032 lbs. of 1,3-Butadiene.<sup>2</sup>

24 ////

25 ////

26

27 <sup>2</sup> The Facility used usage records for the emergency flare from 2023 to estimate the excess hours  
28 that the flare would operate during the variance period.

1           20.     Further, I have reviewed California Health and Safety Code section 41700, and the  
2 Facility’s ability to operate the emergency flare under the requested variance is not expected to  
3 result in a violation of section 41700 (nuisance).

4 **While the Variance Is in Effect, Goodrich Will Reduce Excess Emissions to the Maximum**  
5 **Extent Feasible.**

6           21.     Goodrich has implemented several actions to correct the issues that led to the  
7 delayed detection of the solenoid valve failure and further reduce the likelihood that the Facility  
8 will need to rely on the emergency flare.

9           22.     First, on February 3, 2024, Goodrich replaced the failed solenoid valve shortly after  
10 discovering the issue.

11           23.     Second, on February 5, 2024, Goodrich programmed additional failure alarms to all  
12 incoming boiler and flare valves to ensure the system detects any future valve failures. This will  
13 enable Goodrich’s staff to act immediately to correct any issues. Goodrich staff monitor the  
14 control room twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week.

15           24.     Third, the Facility conducted an internal investigation regarding the detection and  
16 mitigation of flare usage and has implemented the following additional actions:

- 17           • Update alarms on all the valves to instruct operators on recommended actions and
- 18           possible causes for flare operation;
- 19           • Modify the flare timer programming to detect any possible pathways for process
- 20           gas to enter the flare line; and
- 21           • Evaluate the Infra-Red camera as a secondary source to trigger the flare timer and
- 22           alarms.

23 The Facility plans to implement the following additional actions in the near future:

- 24           • Establish PM frequency for physically testing the solenoid valves and update the
- 25           mechanical integrity program accordingly; and
- 26           • Update programing to trigger an automatic shut down all furnaces in operation
- 27           should a pathway to flare be opened during non-emergency scenarios.

28

1 **While the Variance Is in Effect, Goodrich Will Monitor or Otherwise Quantify Emission**  
2 **Levels from the Emergency Flare and Report These Emission Levels to South Coast AQMD.**

3 25. Goodrich monitors its control system twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week,  
4 and that system shows flow, temperature, and valve positions. These measurements allow  
5 Goodrich to monitor and quantify any emissions from the emergency flare, consistent with the  
6 conditions agreed to Goodrich and South Coast AQMD set forth in the Proposed Order Granting  
7 Regular Variance. Goodrich will report the required information to South Coast AQMD  
8 according to the schedule agreed to by the Parties.

9 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the  
10 foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on the 24th day of April, 2024,  
11 in Santa Fe Springs, California.

12  
13 

14 \_\_\_\_\_  
Sandra Perez



# **Exhibit A**



**PERMIT TO OPERATE**

This initial permit must be renewed ANNUALLY unless the equipment is moved, or changes ownership.  
If the billing for the annual renewal fee (Rule 301.6) is not received by the expiration date, contact the District.

Legal Owner  
or Operator:

GOODRICH CORPORATION  
11120 S NORWALK BLVD  
SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670-3885

ID 11998

**Equipment Location:** 11120 S NORWALK BLVD, SANTA FE SPRINGS, CA 90670-3885

**Equipment Description :**

AIR POLLUTION CONTROL SYSTEM CONSISTING OF:

1. EMERGENCY FLARE, JOHN ZINK, MODEL EEF-U-3, 3" FLARE TIP, 4.4 MMBTU/HR, PROCESS GAS FIRED, WITH A PROPANE PILOT AND A LIQUID SEAL DRUM.
2. EXHAUST SYSTEM WITH A COMMON BLOWER VENTING FOURTEEN CARBON CERAMIC VAPOR DEPOSITION FURNACES.

**Conditions :**

1. OPERATION OF THIS EQUIPMENT SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL DATA AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED WITH THE APPLICATION UNDER WHICH THIS PERMIT IS ISSUED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED BELOW.
2. THIS EQUIPMENT SHALL BE PROPERLY MAINTAINED AND KEPT IN GOOD OPERATING CONDITION AT ALL TIMES.
3. THIS EQUIPMENT SHALL NOT BE USED MORE THAN 13 HOURS IN ANY ONE 12-MONTH ROLLING PERIOD.
4. THIS EQUIPMENT SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH A TEMPERATURE INDICATOR AND RECORDER WHICH MEASURES AND RECORDS THE EXITING FLUE GAS TEMPERATURE.
5. WHENEVER THIS EQUIPMENT IS IN OPERATION, A TEMPERATURE OF NOT LESS THAN 1400 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT SHALL BE MAINTAINED IN THE STACK AS MEASURED BY THE TEMPERATURE INDICATOR AND RECORDER.
6. THE EQUIPMENT SHALL BE EQUIPPED WITH A FLAME FAILURE ALARM WITH AN AUTOMATIC SYSTEM TO ISOLATE THE FLARE FROM THE CARBON CERAMIC VAPOR DEPOSITION FURNACE PROCESS GAS SUPPLY LINES, SHUT OFF THE BLOWER, AND NOTIFY A RESPONSIBLE PARTY OF THE SHUTDOWN.
7. THE EQUIPMENT OPERATING THERMOCOUPLE SHALL BE LOCATED IN THE FLAME BODY.

**ORIGINAL**



PERMIT TO OPERATE

8. THE TOTAL FLOW RATE OF PROCESS GASES FROM THE CVD FURNACES TO THIS FLARE SHALL NOT EXCEED 12,000 DRY STANDARD CUBIC FEET PER HOUR.
9. ANY BREAKDOWN OR MALFUNCTION OF THE EQUIPMENT RESULTING IN THE EMISSION OF RAW GAS SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE SCAQMD WITHIN ONE HOUR AFTER OCCURRENCE AND IMMEDIATE REMEDIAL MEASURES SHALL BE UNDERTAKEN TO CORRECT THE PROBLEM AND PREVENT FURTHER EMISSIONS INTO THE ATMOSPHERE.
10. THE EQUIPMENT OPERATOR SHALL MAINTAIN ADEQUATE RECORDS FOR THIS EQUIPMENT TO SHOW COMPLIANCE WITH CONDITION NUMBERS 3, 5, AND 8. ALL RECORDS SHALL BE PREPARED IN A MANNER THAT IS ACCEPTABLE TO THE DISTRICT, SHALL BE RETAINED ON THE PREMISES FOR AT LEAST TWO YEARS AND SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST OF THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR HIS REPRESENTATIVE.

NOTICE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH RULE 206, THIS PERMIT TO OPERATE OR COPY SHALL BE POSTED ON OR WITHIN 8 METERS OF THE EQUIPMENT.

THIS PERMIT DOES NOT AUTHORIZE THE EMISSION OF AIR CONTAMINANTS IN EXCESS OF THOSE ALLOWED BY DIVISION 26 OF THE HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA OR THE RULES OF THE AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT. THIS PERMIT CANNOT BE CONSIDERED AS PERMISSION TO VIOLATE EXISTING LAWS, ORDINANCES, REGULATIONS OR STATUTES OF OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER

By Dorris M. Bailey/RL01

4/17/2014

ORIGINAL

## **Exhibit B**

**E P C O N S U L T A N T S**  
**M A N A G E M E N T C O N S U L T I N G S E R V I C E S**

June 12, 2000

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
Air Quality Engineer II  
South Coast Air Quality Management District  
21865 East Copley Drive  
Diamond Bar, California 91765

Subject: Health Risk Assessment Report: Backup Flare  
Application No. 336586  
BFGoodrich Aerospace – Carbon Products Division  
Santa Fe Springs, California  
SCAQMD ID No. 11998

Dear Amir:

On behalf of BFGoodrich Aerospace – Carbon Products Division (BFGoodrich), EP Consultants (EPC) is pleased to provide the results of a Tier 3 Health Risk Assessment (HRA) for the referenced backup flare. The following includes a facility description, project background, emissions summary, dispersion modeling, results, and conclusions/recommendations.

**FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

The BFGoodrich facility, located at 11200 Norwalk Boulevard, Santa Fe Springs, California (Facility), manufactures aircraft brake pads using a proprietary carbonization process (see Figure 1 - Site Location Map). The Facility is in an industrial area of Santa Fe Springs, bounded as follows (see Figure 2 for a vicinity zoning map):

- *West* – Norwalk Boulevard and homes, zoned R-1 (single family residential);
- *South* – vacant lot, zoned M-2 (heavy manufacturing);
- *East* – manufacturing facilities, zoned M-2; and,
- *North* – manufacturing facility, zoned M-2.

**12524 Bryce Circle Cerritos California 90703-8334**  
**Phone (562) 865-2477 Fax (562) 865-2977**  
**epconsultants@usa.net**

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 2

## PROJECT BACKGROUND

On January 29, 1998 and on behalf of the Facility, IT Corporation submitted new source air permit application number 336586 to the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) for a backup flare. The flare is used to control emissions from 14 carbon ceramic vapor deposition (CVD) furnaces during emergency shutdown or maintenance conditions, or when an existing 800 HP boiler is not in service.

On March 20, 1998, the SCAQMD issued a Permit to Construct (P/C) for the subject flare (a copy of the P/C is included in Attachment 1). Condition 9 of the P/C requires that a flare source test be conducted to demonstrate destruction efficiency for volatile organic compounds including benzene.

The estimated cost of retrofitting the flare for the source test is prohibitively expensive, and BFGoodrich has proposed a risk assessment for uncontrolled flare emissions to demonstrate compliance with SCAQMD Rule 1401. Although a Tier 2 screening HRA was conducted by SCAQMD staff and resulted in off-site health risks exceeding Rule 1401 thresholds, BFGoodrich has conducted a more refined, Tier 3 HRA to evaluate off-site health risks.

During a meeting at the SCAQMD on April 14, 2000, it was agreed that source testing of the flare would not be required if it could be demonstrated that uncontrolled flare emissions (e.g. assuming a flare destruction efficiency of zero) would result in off-site health risks below Rule 1401 thresholds.

Because the flare permit application was submitted and deemed complete in March 1998, SCAQMD's *Risk Assessment Procedures for Rules 1401 and 212*, Attachment A (for applications deemed complete from June 1, 1990 to September 7, 1998) is the pertinent SCAQMD guidance for this HRA. Table 8 of this attachment includes cancer unit risk factors and multi-pathway adjustment factors for pollutants to be considered. The pollutants listed in this table that are expected in flare emissions are benzene and 1,3-butadiene.

The March 1998 version of Rule 1401 and associated SCAQMD guidance include cancer unit risk factors but no acute/chronic exposure levels for benzene and 1,3-butadiene. Therefore, this HRA considers only cancer risks associated with benzene and 1,3-butadiene emissions.

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 3

### EMISSIONS SUMMARY

Carbon CVD furnace exhaust gases were evaluated in a boiler source test conducted on February 28, 2000, with a maximum six furnaces operating. A summary of the results, taken from an April 12, 2000 boiler source test report prepared by Total Air Analysis, Inc. (Carson, California), is provided in Attachment 2 (the complete report was provided to you during our meeting on April 14, 2000).

The reported inlet parameters for the boiler are representative of the maximum process gas inlet conditions for the flare. Assuming up to 13 hours of operation for the flare for any rolling 12-month period, benzene and 1,3-butadiene mass emission rates were determined as follows:

Compound	Maximum Emission Rate		
	Pounds/Hour	Pounds/Year	Micrograms/Second *
Benzene	8.80	114	1,640 ✓
1,3-Butadiene	4.58	59.5	857 ✓

\* Annual average.

### DISPERSION MODELING

#### *Model Selection and Options*

The USEPA's Industrial Source Complex Source Term 3 (ISCST3) model, version 99155, was used for dispersion modeling. ISCST3 is a Gaussian distribution model that calculates the concentration of a gas from a continuous source based on a gas emission rate; source stack parameters including exhaust temperature, velocity, and diameter; meteorological conditions and vicinity topographic features; heights and locations of sources and receptors; and hourly temporal profiles for sources emissions and receptors. The following model options were selected for this HRA:

- Urban dispersion coefficients;
- No dry or wet deposition;
- Final plume rise;
- No stack-tip downwash;
- No building downwash;
- Buoyancy-induced dispersion;
- Calms processing routine; and,
- Receptors on flat terrain.

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 4

### *Input Parameters*

Flare input parameters were obtained from the BFGoodrich Facility engineering department, as follows:

Parameter	Model Input
Source type	Point source, no stack cap
Emission rate	See source strength calculations below
Stack height	21.3 meters (70 feet)
Exhaust temperature	505.4°K (450°F)
Stack diameter	0.102 meter (4 inches)
Exhaust flowrate	Not required (27,000 standard cubic feet/hour)
Exhaust velocity	44.4 meters/second (146 feet/second)

### *Meteorological Data*

Preprocessed hourly surface meteorological data were obtained from the SCAQMD for Pico Rivera (1981; Surface Station No. 53134). These data were used for modeling, along with Los Angeles World Airport (Station No. 91919) upper air data for 1981, also obtained from the SCAQMD.

### *Source Strength Calculations*

To obtain model outputs in a format compatible with the Surf© plotting program (version 4.15, Golden Software Inc., 1990), source strengths were used in the ISCST3 model rather than actual emission rates for benzene and 1,3-butadiene. The method used for calculating source strengths is described below.

Cancer risk is determined by the following:

$$\text{MICR}_i = \sum_i [\text{LEA}_i \times \chi_i \times U_i \times \text{MP}_i] \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

where:



Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 5

- i = pollutant (either benzene or 1,3-butadiene);  
 j = exposure scenario (either residential or worker);  
 MICR<sub>j</sub> = maximum individual cancer risk per million for scenario j;  
 LEA<sub>j</sub> = lifetime exposure adjustment factor for scenario k (no units);  
 χ<sub>i</sub> = ground-level concentration for pollutant i at a given receptor location (generated by the ISCST3 model, micrograms/cubic meter);  
 U<sub>i</sub> = unit risk factor for pollutant i, (micrograms/cubic meter)<sup>-1</sup>; and,  
 MP<sub>i</sub> = multi-pathway adjustment factor for pollutant i (no units).

ISCST3 calculates χ<sub>i</sub> at receptor locations based on the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_i &= (Q_i / (2\pi\sigma_y\sigma_z V)) \times e^{-0.5(y/\sigma_y)^2} \times [e^{-0.5[(z-H)/\sigma_z]^2} + e^{-0.5[(z+H)/\sigma_z]^2}] \\ &= Q_i \times f(x, y, z, \sigma_y, \sigma_z, V, H)\end{aligned}\quad \text{(Equation 2)}$$

where:

- x, y, z = Cartesian coordinates of receptor location (meters);  
 Q<sub>i</sub> = emission rate for pollutant i (micrograms/second);  
 σ<sub>y</sub> = standard deviation of plume concentration distribution in the horizontal direction (meters);  
 σ<sub>z</sub> = standard deviation of plume concentration distribution in the vertical direction (meters);  
 V = wind speed affecting the plume (meters/second); and,  
 H = height of final plume centerline (meters).

Therefore, by creating an ISCST3 input file with Q<sub>i</sub> and previously discussed source parameters, the ISCST model provides an output file with χ<sub>i</sub> values at various receptor locations. To generate an output file with direct MICR<sub>j</sub> values, Q<sub>i</sub> were represented by source strengths (SS<sub>i,j</sub>, cubic meters/second):

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 6

$$SS_{ij} = Q_i \times LEA_j \times U_i \times MP_i \quad (\text{Equation 3})$$

Combining equations (2) and (3) and summing pollutants, the MICR<sub>j</sub> was obtained:

$$f(x,y,z,\sigma_y,\sigma_z,V,H) \times \sum_i SS_{ij} = \sum_i [\chi_i \times LEA_j \times U_i \times MP_i] = MICR_j$$

Therefore, by using the SS<sub>ij</sub> summation in place of Q<sub>i</sub> in the ISCST3 model input file, the output file provided total MICR<sub>j</sub> results for each receptor location. Source strength calculation results are summarized below (1 = benzene, 2 = 1,3-butadiene):

Parameter	Units	Residential Scenario	Worker Scenario
Q <sub>1</sub>	micrograms/second	1,640	1,640
Q <sub>2</sub>	micrograms/second	857	857
U <sub>1</sub>	(micrograms/cubic meter) <sup>-1</sup>	2.9 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.9 × 10 <sup>-5</sup>
U <sub>2</sub>	(micrograms/cubic meter) <sup>-1</sup>	1.7 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.7 × 10 <sup>-4</sup>
MP <sub>1</sub>	dimensionless	1.0	1.0
MP <sub>2</sub>	dimensionless	1.0	1.0
LEA <sub>j</sub>	dimensionless	1.0 *	0.130 **
SS <sub>1</sub>	cubic meters/second	0.0476	0.00618
SS <sub>2</sub>	cubic meters/second	0.146	0.0189
$\sum_{i=1}^2 SS_{ij}$	cubic meters/second	0.194	0.0251

\* Based on exposure for 24 hours/day, 365 days/year, and 70 years.

\*\* Based on exposure for 8 hours/day, 250 days/year, and 40 years.

### Receptor Analysis

The ISCST3 model input file specified receptor locations in a Cartesian rectangular grid with the flare point source as the origin. Initially, a coarse receptor grid was

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 7

used with 25-meter spacing and receptor points ranging from 425 meters north to 350 meters south of the origin and 325 meters west to 425 meters east of the origin. Based on the highest annual average results obtained from the coarse grid runs, refined grid model runs were completed with one-meter spacing and receptor points ranging 10 meters in each direction from the coarse grid maxima.

## RESULTS

A diskette with ISCST3 modeling input and output files is included in Attachment 3. The following files are included:

- *BFGRES1.\** – residential scenario, coarse grid (25 meter), input/output files;
- *BFGRES2.\** – residential scenario, refined grid (1 meter), input/output files;
- *BFGWORK1.\** – worker scenario, coarse grid (25 meter), input/output files;
- *BFGWORK2.\** – worker scenario, refined grid (1 meter), input/output files; and,
- *PICORIV.ASC* – meteorological data file as obtained from the SCAQMD.

For the residential scenario, the maximum annual average off-site cancer risk was  $0.949 \times 10^{-6}$  at 102 meters west and 96 meters north of the flare point source origin. For the worker scenario, the maximum annual average off-site cancer risk was  $0.422 \times 10^{-6}$  at 66 meters east and 79 meters south of the flare point source origin.

The maximum exposed individual (MEI) locations for residential and worker scenarios are identified on Figure 2 as the  $MEI_r$  and  $MEI_w$ , respectively. Figures 3 and 4 include Surf©-generated plots of the cancer risk isopleths for residential and worker scenarios, respectively.

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on this analysis, it appears that the flare can operate for up to 13 hours per rolling 12-month period without exceeding a calculated cancer risk of  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  at any off-site residential or worker receptor. This analysis is conservative because it assumes no destruction of benzene or 1,3-butadiene by the flare. It is therefore

Mr. Amir Dejbakhsh  
June 12, 2000

Page 8

recommended that the SCAQMD (1) accept this analysis in lieu of source testing to demonstrate compliance with Rule 1401, and (2) issue a Permit to Operate for the flare with an operating limit of 13 hours per rolling 12-month period.

On behalf of BFGoodrich, EPC appreciates your attention to this HRA submittal. If you have any questions or comments, please call either of us at (562) 865-2477.

Very truly yours,

E P CONSULTANTS



Hari Gupta, P.E., R.E.A.  
Associate



Anu Sood, P.E., C.P.P., R.E.A.  
Principal

Enclosures:	Figure 1	Site Location Map
	Figure 2	Vicinity Zoning Map and MEI Locations
	Figure 3	Surf© Plot for Residential Scenario
	Figure 4	Surf© Plot for Worker Scenario
	Attachment 1	Flare Permit to Construct
	Attachment 2	Emissions Summary from Boiler Source Test
	Attachment 3	Diskette with Modeling Files

cc: Mr. David Castillo, BFGoodrich

```

CO STARTING
TITLEONE BFGoodrich Flare HRA - Worker Refined
MODELOPT NOSTD URBAN CONC
AVERTIME 1 ANNUAL
POLLUTID Concentration
RUNORNOT RUN
** EVENTFIL EVENT-Benz-buta
ERRORFIL ERRORSW2.OUT

```

```

CO FINISHED
SO STARTING

```

```

LOCATION 1 POINT 0.0 0.0 0.0
**
** EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
**
** EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
**
** EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
** Point Source QS HS TS VS DS
** Parameters:
SRCPARAM 1 2.51E-02 21.3 505.4 44.44 0.102

```

```

* SRCGROUP SOURC1 1
S FINISHED
RE STARTING
GRIDCART CG1 STA
XYINC 65 21 1 65 21 1
GRIDCART CG1 END
RE FINISHED
ME STARTING
INPUTFIL picoriv.ASC
ANEMHGHT 10.000 METERS
SURFDATA 53134 1981 Pico Rivera
UAIRDATA 91919 1981 LAX
STARTEND 1981 1 1 1 1981 12 31 24
ME FINISHED
OU STARTING
RECTABLE ALLAVE FIRST
** PLOTFILE 1 ALL 1ST 3HR.sfr
E PLOTFILE ANNUAL SOURC1 BFGWORK2.sfr
OU FINISHED

```

CO STARTING  
TITLEONE BFGoodrich Flare HRA - Residential Coarse  
MODELOPT NOSTD URBAN CONC  
AVERTIME 1 ANNUAL  
POLLUTID Concentration  
RUNORNOT RUN

\*\* EVENTFIL EVENT-Benz-buta  
ERRORFIL ERRORSR1.OUT

CO FINISHED

SO STARTING

LOCATION 1 POINT 0.0 0.0 0.0  
\*\* 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8  
EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0  
\*\* 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16  
EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0  
\*\* 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24  
EMISFACT 1 HROFDY 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0  
\*\* Point Source QS HS TS VS DS  
\*\* Parameters: ---  
SRCPARAM 1 (1.94E-01) 21.3 505.4 44.44 0.102

SRCGROUP SOURC1 1

FINISHED

RE STARTING

GRIDCART CG1 STA  
XYINC -425 32 25 -325 31 25  
GRIDCART CG1 END

RE FINISHED

ME STARTING

INPUTFIL picoriv.ASC  
ANEMHGHT 10.000 METERS  
SURFDATA 53134 1981 Pico Rivera  
UAIRDATA 91919 1981 LAX  
STARTEND 1981 1 1 1 1981 12 31 24

ME FINISHED

OU STARTING

RECTABLE ALLAVE FIRST

\*\* PLOTFILE 1 ALL 1ST 3HR.sfr  
PLOTFILE ANNUAL SOURC1 BFGRES1.sfr

OU FINISHED